BEFORE THE LOKAYUKTA, DELHI JUSTICE MANMOHAN SARIN COMPLAINT NO. C-1733/LOK/2012

In Re:- Complaint of Col. (Retd.) Devender Kumar Sehrawat, Secretary, Delhi Gramin Samaj, 1 Mahipalpur, Delhi 110037 ... Complainant Vs.

Sh. Sat Prakash Rana, MLA R/o 823, V&P.O. Bijwasan, New Delhi

Respondent

AND

In the matter of :- Complaint for inquiry for misappropriation of funds and being in possession of assets, disproportionate to the known sources of income in respect of Sh. Sat Prakash Rana. MLA.

ORDER

- This is a complaint by Col. (Retd.) Sh. Devender Kumar Sehrawat, Secretary, Delhi Gramin Samaj, against Sh. Sat Prakash Rana, MLA alleging misappropriation of funds and being in possession of assets disproportionate to his known sources of income. The allegation is that work contract was awarded to DSIIDC for construction of 4.7 KM of road and 9.8 KM of drain in K-Block Mahipal Pur and construction of 3.5 KM of road and 7.50 Km of drain in C-Block Mahipal Pur. Works however were not actually carried out on ground. Allegation being that public money, supposedly spent on these works, has been misappropriated by Respondent and others.
- 2. The Complainant was called to give better particulars with regard to the allegations made in the complaint and to substantiate them. Simultaneously a notice under section 11 of the Delhi Lokayukta and Uplokayukta Act 1995 was issued calling for record and report from MCD with regard to the works undertaken vide tender No. 488/1071 and 453/1071, their execution and disbursement of the amount to contractors.
- 3. MCD sent the notice to DSIIDC, who had got these works executed. A report was received from DSIIDC. As per this report, inspection was carried out by M/s. Shriram Institute of Industrial Research and their report was obtained. Notice under section 11 of the Act was also issued to DSIIDC. In response thereto,

DSIIDC submitted a report dated 9.4.2013 stating that the works pertaining to the above said tenders were completed in the year 2008 and the payment was released after due execution of the works. DSIIDC also forwarded the report from Shriram Institute of Industrial Research, who had been assigned the task of carrying out inspection of works and report with regard to the allegation of non execution of the awarded works as also with regard to its quality. Report of Shriram Instritute of Industrial Reseach pointed out that certain portions of the road, where cement concereting was required to be done were devoid of it. Further, in some portions Kharanjas were laid instead of bituminous road or cement concerting.

4. Further explanation was called from DSIIDC following the report of Shriram Institute of Industrial Research. Their explanation was also considered during the preliminary enquiry. DSIIDC explained the report of Shriram Institute of Industrial Research by pointing out that life of bituminous road was 3 years and life of road of cement concerting was 5 years for unauthorised colonies. The said periods had expired. As such it cannot be regarded as non execution of the works particularly when the inspection was carried out in December 2012, which was almost after the expiry of the life of bituminous road and cement concerting. There was thus no deficiency in the works carried out. As regards the missing roads, it was informed that no part of total awarded road was missing since Shriram Institute of Industrial Research has found that the total length of the road was more than the length of the road for which tenders were awarded.

Further as regards the complaint against Sh. Sat Prakash Rana is concerned, it has been ascertained that the works were not carried out during his tenure as MLA and the same were carried out during the tenure of Ex-MLA Sh. Vijay Singh Lochav, who had duly certified the works as satisfactory. Accordingly Sh. Sat Prakash Rana cannot be fastened with any liability for any illegality or for misappropriation of funds when works were not carried out in his tenure.

5. In above view of the matter, Complainant was asked to expalin as to how the present MLA Sh. Sat Prakash Rana can be held responsible for alleged non



execution of the works and alleged misappropriation of the funds of the works

awarded during the tenure of Sh. Vijay Singh Lochav, Ex-MLA.

6. Coming to the allegation in the complaint that Sh. Sat Prakash Rana was in

possession of assets disproportionate to his known sources of income. The bald

allegation is that assets of Sh. Sat Prakash Rana has increased manifold during

his two tenures as MLA, the increase is disproportionate to declared and known

sources of income. It is requested that Income tax returns filed by the individual,

bank accounts and assets be checked. On the basis of such bald allegations, a

roving enquiry cannot be conducted and is not justified. Complainant was asked

to give better particulars with regard to this allegation of disproportionate assets

but the Complainant, despite number of notices from this office, has neither

responded nor furnished better particulars or material to make out even a prima

facie case for enquiry.

7. Notice was again issued to the Complainant Col. (Retd.) Devender Kumar

Sehrawat, from this office on 16.9.2013 asking him to visit this office either

personally or through some authorised representative alongwith necessary

particulars and material in support of his complaint, within 15 days of the receipt

of the notice with stipulation that in case he fails to respond, preliminary enquiry

would be closed.

8. Complainant has not responded to the said notice dated 16.9.2013 till date, thus

failed to substantiate the allegations made in the complaint.

9. Accordingly, preliminary enquiry in this matter is closed.

Justice Manmohan Sarin

Lokayukta, Delhi

25/h October, 2013

PKS